By: Whitmire

S.B. No. 344

	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
1	AN ACT
2	relating to the procedure for an application for a writ of habeas
3	corpus based on relevant scientific evidence.
4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
5	SECTION 1. Chapter 11, Code of Criminal Procedure, is
6	amended by adding Article 11.073 to read as follows:
7	Art. 11.073. PROCEDURE RELATED TO CERTAIN SCIENTIFIC
8	EVIDENCE. (a) This article applies to relevant scientific evidence
9	that:
10	(1) was not available to be offered by a convicted
11	person at the convicted person's trial; or
12	(2) discredits scientific evidence relied on by the
13	state at trial.
14	(b) A court may grant a convicted person relief on an
15	application for a writ of habeas corpus if:
16	(1) the convicted person files an application, in the
17	manner provided by Article 11.07, 11.071, or 11.072, containing
18	specific facts indicating that:
19	(A) relevant scientific evidence is currently
20	available and was not available at the time of the convicted
21	person's trial because the evidence was not ascertainable through
22	the exercise of reasonable diligence by the convicted person before
23	the date of or during the convicted person's trial; and
24	(B) the scientific evidence would be admissible

1

S.B. No. 344

1 <u>under the Texas Rules of Evidence at a trial held on the date of the</u> 2 <u>application; and</u>

3 (2) the court makes the findings described by 4 Subdivisions (1)(A) and (B) and also finds that, had the scientific 5 evidence been presented at trial, it is reasonably probable that 6 the person would not have been convicted.

(c) For purposes of Section 4(a)(1), Article 11.07, Section 7 5(a)(1), Article 11.071, and Section 9(a), Article 11.072, a claim 8 or issue could not have been presented previously in an original 9 10 application or in a previously considered application if the claim or issue is based on relevant scientific evidence that was not 11 12 ascertainable through the exercise of reasonable diligence by the convicted person on or before the date on which the original 13 14 application or a previously considered application, as applicable, 15 was filed.

16 (d) In making a finding as to whether relevant scientific
17 evidence was not ascertainable through the exercise of reasonable
18 diligence on or before a specific date, the court shall consider
19 whether the scientific knowledge or method on which the relevant
20 scientific evidence is based has changed since:

21 <u>(1) the applicable trial date or dates, for a</u> 22 <u>determination made with respect to an original application; or</u>

(2) the date on which the original application or a
 previously considered application, as applicable, was filed, for a
 determination made with respect to a subsequent application.

26 SECTION 2. The change in law made by this Act applies only 27 to an application for a writ of habeas corpus filed on or after the

## S.B. No. 344

1 effective date of this Act. An application for a writ of habeas 2 corpus filed before the effective date of this Act is governed by 3 the law in effect at the time the application was filed, and the 4 former law is continued in effect for that purpose.

5 SECTION 3. This Act takes effect September 1, 2013.